

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
(Docket No. 1644)**

<b>In re the Application of:</b>	)	
	)	
<b>Bryce A. Jones et al.</b>	)	
	)	<b>Group Art Unit 2457</b>
<b>Serial No.: 10/004,994</b>	)	
	)	<b>Examiner: Ramy M. Osman</b>
<b>Filed: December 3, 2001</b>	)	
	)	<b>Confirmation No. 9230</b>
<b>For: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR</b>	)	
<b>ALLOWING MULTIPLE SERVICE</b>	)	
<b>PROVIDERS TO SERVE USERS VIA</b>	)	
<b>A COMMON ACCESS NETWORK</b>	)	

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313

**INTERVIEW SUMMARY**

Dear Sir:

The undersigned held a telephone interview with the Examiner on June 10, 2009, at the Examiner's initiation. The Examiner indicated that the claims would be allowable but that claim 13 should be amended to avoid any issue under 35 U.S.C. 101 by changing "subscriber" to be "subscriber station" in line with the specification. The undersigned agreed to the change in claim 13 and indicated that the claims depending from claim 13 should be amended for consistency. The undersigned also noted that claim 19 should be changed to recite that it is a user of the subscriber station who gets prompted and selects a service provider according to the claim.

Respectfully submitted,

**McDONNELL BOEHNEN  
HULBERT & BERGHOFF LLP**

Dated: June 10, 2009

By: /Lawrence H. Aaronson/  
Lawrence H. Aaronson  
Reg. No. 35,818